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ABSTRACT

Agent architectures are gaining popularity for building open, distributed, and evolving software required by e-commerce applications. Unfortunately, despite considerable work in software architecture during the last decade, few research efforts have aimed at truly defining patterns and languages for agent architectural design. This paper proposes a modern approach based on organizational structures and architectural description languages to define and specify agent architectures notably in the case of e-commerce system design.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The meteoric rise of Internet and World-Wide-Web technologies has created overnight new application areas for enterprise software, including e-commerce applications. These areas demand software that is robust, can operate within a wide range of environments, and can evolve over time to cope with changing requirements. Moreover, such software has to be highly customisable to meet the needs of a wide range of users and sufficiently secure to protect personal data and other assets on behalf of its stakeholders.

Not surprisingly, researchers are looking for new software designs that cope with such requirements. One promising source of ideas for designing such e-commerce software is the area of agent architectures. They appear to be more flexible, modular and robust than traditional including object-oriented ones. They tend to be open and dynamic in the sense they exist in a changing organizational and operational environment where new components can be added, modified or removed at any time.

To cope with the ever-increasing complexity of the design of software architecture, architectural design has received through the last decade increasing attention as an important field of software engineering. Practitioners have come to realize that getting an architecture right is a critical success factor for system life-cycle and have recognized the value of making explicit architectural descriptions and choices in the development of new software.

To this end, a number of architectural description languages (ADL) and architectural styles [4] have been proposed for representing and analyzing architectural designs. An architectural description language provides a concrete syntax for specifying architectural abstractions in a descriptive notation while an architectural style constitutes an intellectually manageable abstraction of system structure that describes how system components interact and work together.

Unfortunately, despite this considerable work [9], few research efforts have aimed at truly defining styles and description languages for agent architectural design. To fill this gap, we have defined, in the SKwyRL project (http://www.isys.ucl.ac.be/skwyrl/), architectural styles for agent systems based on an organizational perspective [2] and have proposed in [3] SKwyRL-ADL, an agent architectural description language. This paper continues and integrates this research: it focuses on an agent perspective for designing and specifying e-commerce software architecture based on organizational styles and SKwyRL-ADL. The structure-in-5 organizational style will be instantiated to design the architecture of the system and the specifications will be expressed in a formal way with SKwyRL-ADL. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces some perspectives of SKwyRL insisting on the BDI model, our ADL and organizational styles. Section 3 describes our agent oriented approach on e-commerce system development, including the design of the global architecture with organizational styles, its formal specification with SKwyRL-ADL and the corresponding implementation on an agent-oriented platform. Finally, Section 4 concludes the research.

2. ADL AND STYLES IN SKWYRL

We have detailed in the SKwyRL project an agent ADL called SKwyRL-ADL [3] that proposes a set of abstractions that are fundamental to the description and specification of agent architectures based on the BDI (Belief-Desire-Intention) agent model. To help the reader to understand our ADL specification in the rest of the paper, we briefly present the main elements of SKwyRL-ADL including the BDI agent model. SKwyRL-ADL is composed of two sub-models which operate at two different levels of abstraction: internal and global. The internal model captures the states of
an agent and its potential behavior. The global model
describes the interaction among agents that compose the
agent architecture. We will also introduce organizational
styles through the description of one of them, the
structure-in-5, that will be used later on in the paper.

2.1 The BDI Agent Model

An agent defines a system entity, situated in some
environment that is capable of flexible autonomous
action in order to meet its design objective [11].

An agent can be useful as a stand-alone entity that
delegates particular tasks on behalf of a user. However,
in the overwhelming majority of cases, agents exist in
an environment that contains other agents. Such
environment is a agent system that can be defined as an
organization composed of autonomous and proactive
agents that interact with each other to achieve common
or private goals [6].

In order to reason about themselves and act in an
autonomous way, agents are usually built on rationale
models and reasoning strategies that have roots in
various disciplines including artificial intelligence,
cognitive science, psychology or philosophy. An
exhaustive evaluation of these models would be out of
the scope of this paper or even this research work. A
simple yet powerful and mature model coming from
cognitive science and philosophy that has received a
great deal of attention, notably in artificial intelligence,
is the Belief-Desire-Intention (BDI) model [1]. This
approach has been intensively used to study the design
rationale of agents and is proposed as a keystone model
in numerous agent-oriented development environments
such as JACK [5]. The main concepts of the BDI agent
model are in addition to the notion of agent itself we
have just explained:

- Beliefs that represent the informational state of a BDI
  agent, that is, what it knows about itself and the world;
- Desires (or goals) that are its motivational state, that is,
  what the agent is trying to achieve;
- Intentions that represent the deliberative state of the
  agent, that is, which plans the agent has chosen for
  possible execution.

2.2 Internal Model

Figure 1 illustrates the main entities and relationships of
the internal model of SKwyRL-ADL. The agent needs
knowledge about the environment in order to reach
decisions. Knowledge is contained in agents in the form
of one of many knowledge bases. A Knowledge base
consists of a set of beliefs that the agent has about the
environment and a set of goals that it pursues. A belief is
a finite set of objects, things with individual identities
and properties, that represents a view of the current
environment states of an agent. However, beliefs about
the current state of the environment are not always

2.3 Global Model

The intentional behavior of an agent is represented by
their capabilities to react to events. An event is
generated either by an action that modifies beliefs or
adds new goals, or by services provided from another
agent. Note that these services are represented in the
global model because they involve interaction among
agents that compose the agent system.

An event may invoke (trigger) one or more plans; the
agent commits to execute one of them, that is, it
becomes intention. A plan defines the sequence of
action to be chosen by the agent to accomplish a task or
achieve a goal. An action can query or change the
beliefs, generate new events or submit new goals.
represents agents by one or more detailed, lower-level configuration descriptions.

Figure 2: Conceptual Representation of the Global Model

2.4 Agent Architectural Styles

A key aspect to conduct architectural design in SKwyRL is the specification and use of organizational styles [2, 6]. These are socially-based design alternative inspired from models and concepts from organizational theories that analyze the structure and design of real-world human organizations.

For instance, the agent architecture we propose in Figure 3 has been designed following the structure-in-5 organizational style detailed in [2]. In a few words, the structure-in-5 style is a meta-structure that defines an organizational architecture that as an aggregate of five sub-structures, as described by Mintzberg [8]. It consists of five typical strategic and logistic components found in many organizations. At the base level one finds the Operational Core where the basic tasks and operations -- the input, processing, output and direct support procedures associated with running the system -- are carried out. At the top lies the Apex composed of strategic executive components. Below it, sit the control/standardization, management components and logistics: Coordination, Middle Agency and Support, respectively.

3. AGENT ARCHITECTURE FOR E-COMMERCE SYSTEM

E-Media (http://www.isys.ucl.ac.be/skwyrl/emedia) is a typical business-to-consumer application we have developed using the architectural concepts explained in Section 2. The application offers an e-commerce architecture supporting the creation of information sources that facilitate the on-line transaction of products, services, and payments resulting in an effective and efficient interaction among sellers, buyers and intermediaries.

This section describes how we have applied the structure-in-5 style to design the architecture of E-media and used SKwyrRL-ADL to formally specify each architectural aspect (belief, goal, plan, action, interface, configuration, service ...) of the application. Based on this architectural specification, we have implemented the application using JACK, a JAVA agent-oriented development environment.

3.1 E-Media Architecture

E-Media includes the following features:
- An on-line web interface allows customers to examine the items in the E-Media catalogue, and place orders;
- Customers can search the on-line store by either browsing the catalogue or querying the item database.
- An online search engine allows customers to search title, author/artist and description fields through keywords or full-text search;
- If an item is not available in the catalogue, the customer has the option to order it;
- Internet communications are supported;
- On-line financial transactions including credit card and anonymity are protected;
- All web information (e.g., product and customer turnover, sales average, ...) of strategic importance is recorded for monthly or on-demand statistical analysis;
- Based of this statistical and strategic information, the system permanently manages and adapts the stock, pricing and promotions policy. For example, for each product, the system can decide to increase or decrease stocks or profit margins. It can also adapt the customer on-line interface with new product promotions.

Figure 3 models the architecture of E-Media using the i* model [12] following the structure-in-5 organizational style we have introduced in Section 2.

i* is a graph, where each node represents an actor (or system component) and each link between two actors indicates that one actor depends on the other for some goal to be attained. A dependency describes an “agreement” (called dependum) between two actors: the depender and the dependee. The depender is the depending actor, and the dependee, the actor who is depended upon. The type of the dependency describes the nature of the agreement. Goal dependencies represent delegation of responsibility for fulfilling a goal; softgoal dependencies are similar to goal dependencies, but their fulfillment cannot be defined precisely; task dependencies are used in situations where the dependee is required. As show in Figure 3, actors are represented as circles; dependums – goals, softgoals, tasks and resources – are respectively represented as ovals, clouds, hexagons and rectangles;
dependencies have the form \( \text{dependee} \rightarrow \text{dependum} \rightarrow \text{depender} \).

![Figure 3: The E-Media Architecture in Structure-in-5](image)

The Store Front plays the role of the structure-in-5’s Operational Core. It interacts with customers and provides them with a usable front-end web application for consulting, searching and shopping media items.

The Back Store constitutes the structure-in-5’s Support component. It manages the product database and communicates to the Store Front relevant product information. It stores and backs up all web information about customers, products and sales to be able to produce statistical information (e.g., analyses, average charts and turnover reports). Such kind of information is computed either for a predefined product (when the Coordinator asks it) or on a monthly basis for every product. Based on this monthly statistical information, it provides also the Decision Maker with strategic information (e.g., sales increase or decrease, performance charts, best sales, sales prevision, …).

The Billing Processor plays the role of the structure-in-5’s Technostructure in handling customer orders and bills. To this end, it provides the customer with on-line shopping cart capabilities. It also ensures the secure management of financial transactions for the Decision Maker. Finally, it handles, under the responsibility of the Coordinator component, stock orders to avoid shortages or congestions.

As the structure-in-5’s Middle Agency, the Coordinator assumes the central position of the architecture. It is responsible to implements strategic decisions for the Decision Maker (Strategic Apex). It supervises and coordinates the activities of the Billing Processor (initiating the stock and pricing policy), the Front Store (adapting the front end interface with new promotions and recommendations) and the Back Store (parametrizing statistical computing) ensuring that the system fulfills its mission in an effective way.

Finally, the Decision Maker assumes the Strategic Apex role of the structure-in-5. It defines the Strategic Behavior (e.g., sales and turnover, product visibility, hits, …) of the system ensuring that objectives and responsibilities delegated to the Billing Processor, Coordinator and Back Store are consistent with respect to their capabilities.

### 3.2 E-Media Formal Specification

The architecture described in Figure 3 gives an organizational representation of the system-to-be including relevant actors and their respective goals, tasks and resource inter-dependencies. This model can serve as a basis to understand and discuss the assignment of system functionalities but it is not adequate to provide a precise specification of the system details. As introduced in Section 2, SkwyRL-ADL provides a finite set of formal agent-oriented constructors that allow to detail in a formal and consistent way the software architecture as well as its agent components and their behaviors.

```plaintext
Agent: (Back-Store)
    Interface
    Effector[provide(strategic_info)]
    Effector[provide(statistical_info)]
    Effector[provide(product_info)]
    Sensor[require(strategic_behavior)]
    KnowledgeBase:
    Product_KB  Statistical_KB
    BS_System_KB  BS_Customer_KB
    Capabilities:
    Statistical_CP  Strategic_CP
    Data_Management_CP
```

![Figure 4: Agent Description of the Back-Store](image)
our ADL for each of the three aspects of the agent: interface, knowledge bases and capabilities.

**Interface.** The agent interface consists of a number of effectors and sensors for the agent. Each of them represents an action in which the agent will participate. Each effector provides a service that is available to other agents, and each sensor requires a service provided by another agent. The correspondence between a required and a provided service defines an interaction. For example, the Back Store provides the statistical_info service that the Coordinator requires.

Such interface definition points two aspects of an agent. Firstly, it indicates the expectations the agent has about the agents with which it interacts. Secondly, it reveals that the interaction relationships are a central issue of the architectural description. Such relationships are not only part of the specification of the agent behavior but reflect the potential patterns of communication that characterize the ways the system reason about itself.

**Knowledge Bases.** A knowledge base (KB) is specified with a name, a body and a type. The name identifies the KB whenever an agent wants to query or modify them (add or remove a belief). The body represents a set of beliefs in the manner of a relational database schema. It describes the beliefs the agent may have in terms of fields. When the agent acquires a new belief, values for each of its fields are specified and the belief is added to the appropriate KB as a new tuple. The KB type describes the kind of formal knowledge used by the agent. A Closed world assumes that the agent is operating in a world where every tuple it can express is included in a KB at all times as being true or false. Inversely, in an open world KB, any tuple not included as true or false is assumed to be unknown. Figure 6 gives the specification the Product_KB:

```sql
Figure 6: A Knowledge Base Specification
```

Capabilities formalize the behavioral elements of an agent. They are composed of plans and events that together define the agent’s abilities. They can also be composed of sub-capabilities that can be combined to provide complex behavior.

**Configuration** To describe the complete topology of the system architecture, the agents of an architectural description are combined into a SkwyRL configuration.

Instances of each agent or service that appear in the configuration must be identified with an explicit and
unique name. The configuration also describes the collaborations (i.e., which agent participates in which interaction) through a one-to-many mapping between provided and required service instances.

3.3 E-Media Implementation

Based on the structure-in-5 architecture described in Section 3.1 and the formal SKwyRL-ADL architectural specification overviewed in Section 3.2, the E-Media application has been implemented with JACK (JACK), a BDI agent-oriented development environment for JAVA. We briefly describe the E-Media implementation to illustrate the role of the agents and their interactions.

![E-media Main Interface](image)

Figure 8: E-media Main Interface

When an on-line customer gets connected to E-media, an instance of the Front-Store is created to display the interface depicted in Figure 8. It allows the new coming user to register (1). The Back-Store handles the information provided by the user and checks its validity (2). If the access is granted, the user can purchase products on E-Media by adding catalogue items to the shopping cart (4) managed by the Billing-Processor. At any time the user can use the navigation-bar (3) to switch from one section of the website to another. Promotions (5) and best sales (6) are part of the strategic behaviour objective. The promotions policy is initiated by the Decision-Maker based on the strategic information provided by the Back-Store. The Coordinator chooses the best promotions and consequently adapts the Store Front layout. The Coordinator acts similarly for the best sales: the Back-Store computes the five best sellers and the Coordinator accordingly updates the Store-Front.

6. CONCLUSION

Nowadays, software engineering for new enterprise application domains such as e-Business is forced to build up open systems able to cope with distributed, heterogeneous, and dynamic information issues. Most of these software systems exist in a changing organizational and operational environment where new components can be added, modified or removed at any time. For these reasons and more, agent architectures are gaining popularity in that they do allow dynamic and evolving structures which can change at run-time.

Architectural design has received considerable attention for the past decade which has resulted in a collection of well-understood architectural styles and formal architectural description languages. Unfortunately, this work has focuses on object-oriented rather than agent-oriented systems. This paper has described an approach based on organizational styles and an agent architectural description language we have defined to design agent architectures in the context of e-commerce system engineering. The paper has proposed a validation of the framework: it has been applied to develop E-Media, an e-commerce platform implemented on the JACK agent environment.
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