Pan, J.S., Rong, Y.T., Lv, Y., Peng, Q.Y., & Cai, X.F. (2024). An enterprise deregistration risk assessment model integrating public credit information and tax Information. In Li, E.Y. *et al.* (Eds.) *Proceedings of The International Conference on Electronic Business, Volume 24* (pp. 662-667). ICEB'24, Zhuhai, China, October 24-28, 2024

An Enterprise Deregistration Risk Assessment Model Integrating Public Credit Information and Tax Information

J.S. Pan ¹ Y.T. Rong ².* Y. Lv ³ Q.Y. Lin ⁴ J.R. Peng ⁵ X.F. Cai ⁶

*Corresponding author

- ² Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, Ph.D. Candidate, jetryt@163.com
- ³ Shenzhen Public Credit Center, Shenzhen, China, Yangl2023@mail.amr.sz.gov.cn
- ⁴ Shenzhen Public Credit Center, Shenzhen, China, linqy1@mail.amr.sz.gov.cn
- ⁵ Shenzhen Public Credit Center, Shenzhen, China, Pengjr2023@mail.amr.sz.gov.cn
- ⁶ Research Assistant Professor, National Center for Applied Mathematics-Shenzhen (NCAMS), Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, China, caixf@mail.sustech.edu.cn

ABSTRACT

The assessment of firm's credit risk and the prediction of enterprise's default and bankruptcy probability plays an important role in financial organizations and regulatory authorities. Since 1960s, an extensive range of techniques and ratios have been used to predict the default and bankruptcy probability of enterprises. However, default and bankruptcy is not the only form of credit risk. Other forms of firm exit, such as mergers and acquisitions, even malicious cancellation, may happen and lead to credit risk, but have been largely neglected by existing literature. This study proposes an enterprise deregistration risk (including default, bankruptcy, mergers, acquisitions, and malicious cancellation) assessment model integrating public credit information and tax information, considering a broader range of credit risk. The proposed model could help financial organizations and regulatory authorities more comprehensively evaluate the credit risk of enterprises.

Keywords: Credit risk, deregistration, firm exit, public credit information, tax information.

INTRODUCTION

The assessment of firm's credit risk and the prediction of enterprise's default and bankruptcy probability has always received attention from scholars in multiple fileds, including finance, operations research, management science, etc., and practitioners in the financial market (Doumpos et al., 2017; Le et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2023). On the one hand, once an enterprise defaults, it takes a long time and a high cost to restore normal operation, even leading to bankruptcy liquidation in the worst case, especially for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). On the other hand, an enterprise's default or bankruptcy would affect the interests of all its stakeholders (e.g., investors, creditors, shareholders, employees; Kedia & Mishra, 2024; Sun & Shenoy, 2007). Therefore, it is not only important for financial organizations to make credit grating and investment decisions, but also crucial for regulatory authorities to take action in advance (Doumpos & Zopounidis, 2011; Zhang et al., 2024a).

Since credit risk assessment is of critical importance, an extensive range of methods and algorithms have been used to predict the default or bakruptcy of enterprise. Beaver (1966) was the first to introduce financial ratios (e.g., cash flow to total assets, net income to total debt, quick assets to current liabilities) as predictors of enterprise failure by using univariate analysis. After that, Altman (1968) and Altman et al. (1977) applied multiple discriminant analysis (MDA) to the problem of bankruptcy prediction, which attempts to derive a linear combination of firm charcteristics (e.g., working capital to total assets, sales to total assets) to best discriminate between the bankrupt and non-bankrupt samples. Ohlson (1980) preferred a logistic regression more over MDA as the latter requires less restrictive statistical assumptions and could perform better in bankruptcy discrimination. Though statistical methods remain popular in a long time, they are limited in real application due to strict assumptions and pre-existing functional forms relating response variables. Since 1980s, a variety of artificial intelligence (AI) techniques have been used to offer better prediction performance, such as support vector machines (SVMs; Kim & Sohn, 2010), neural networks (Zhang et al., 1999; Shi et al., 2024), deep learning (Ramesh & Jeyakarthic, 2024; Zhang et al., 2024b).

Besides prediction methods and techniques, prediction ratios play an important role in the performance of credi trisk assessment models. In initial works, Beaver (1966) and Altman (1968) considered only financial ratios in their discriminant models. However, using fanancial ratios to evalute credit risk is always complicated and costly, especiall for SMEs, due to a

¹ Shenzhen Public Credit Center, Shenzhen, China, panjs@mail.amr.sz.gov.cn

lack of reliable data source and other factors (Kou et al., 2021). Therefore, more and more studies apply non-financial ratios to predict the default or bankruptcy probability of enterprises. Mirzaei et al. (2016) found that industry related factors, such as the abundance of industry resources, industry volatility, and industry intensity, are also important factors affecting enterprise default. Liang et al. (2016) combined financial ratios with corporate governance indicators in bankruptcy prediction, and found that board structure and ownership structure are important indicators of enterprise bankruptcy.

Though default and bakruptcy risk prediction has been largely analyzed and explored, it is not the only form of credit risk. Other forms of firm exit, such as mergers and acquisitions, even malicious cancellation of the company, always happen, which could also lead to credit risk (Duan et al., 2012). For instance, in May 2021, workers from a catering company in Hongkou District, Shanghai, reported through 12345 that the company had delayed their wages. The human resources and social security department of Hongkou District immediately launched an investigation into the catering company but found that it had been closed due to poor management, though the three shareholders of this company still owe 21 employees a total of more than 110000 yuan in wages. Thus, the human resources and social security department ordered the catering company to make up for the unpaid wages of workers. But the catering company neither submitted an administrative review nor delayed payment of the unpaid wages after more than half a year has passed. In March 2022, when the human resources and social security department decided to apply to the court for compulsory enforcement, it was discovered that the catering company had already been registered for cancellation, which occurred the day before the administrative decision was made. Enterprise deregistration means that it is impossible to enter the execution process, and previous administrative decisions have also been forced to be revoked due to the loss of administrative counterparties, the unpaid wages of workers are still far away. Such cases not only occur in Hongkou District, Shanghai, but also in multiple places such as Ningxia.

Besides unpaid wages, malicious cancellation of the company may lead to more serious social and economic issues. For example, in construction and engineering industry, many homeowners are faced with quality issues of their purchased residences during the warranty period. However, when they go to the developer for repair, they realize that the developer has already cancelled the company, so they don't know who to turn to for warranty responsibility. When this happens, it takes a long time and high cost for homeowners to defend their rights.

However, the majority of current studies of credit risk assessment have focused on predicting the probability of default and bankruptcy, neglecting other forms of credit risk. This study aims at addressing the above issues by proposing an enterprise deregistration risk (including default, bankruptcy, and other forms of firm exit) assessment model integrating public credit information and tax information of enterprises, which are rarely used in the field of credit risk assessment. Zhu and Chen (2024) explored the impact of tax credit on financial credit risk and found that tax credit reduces financial credit risk. But the authors only considered one tax credit ratio, namely, whether the enterprise appears on the list of grade A (the highest grade, annual TC evaluation index score of 90 points or more) taxpayers assessed by China's tax authorities. Yin (2020) combined information found in legal judgments with financial and firm-specific information to evaluate the credit risk of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs).

This study intends to make two contributions to the literature. First, though malicious cancellation of the company always happen and lead to serious social and economic issues, it remains underexplored in the literature. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that enterprise deregistration risk is used as a prediction target. Second, this study predicts the probability of enterprise deregistration using a combination of public credit information and tax information, but not traditionally financial ratios. As SMEs face inherent problems such as a lack of a complete information disclosure system and the ambiguity in self disclosed information (Chen et al., 2015; D'Aurizio et al., 2015), such a risk assessment index could cover more enterprises.

RESEARCH DESIGN

Variables

Dependent Variable

The task of credit risk assessment is always modelled as a dichotomous classification problem, assigning "1" to default ones and "0" to non-default ones (Rong et al., 2023; Serrano-Cinca & Gutiérrez-Nieto, 2016). Similarly, this study regard enterprise deregistration risk assessment as a dichotomous classification task, where "1" represents deregistration enterprises and "0" represents remaining enterprises. The objective is to estimate the deregistration probabilities of enterprises and distinguish enterprises that are supposed to be remaining from those that are very likely to deregistrate in 6 months.

Independent Variables

This study includes two main categories of independent variables, public credit information and tax information.

Public Credit Information: Public credit information can be roughly divided into three categories, including basic business information, administrative management information, and change information. Basic business information contains information generated in the process of registration. Administrative management information refers to the information generated by the government in the process of managing administrative affairs of enterprises, such as administrative licenses, administrative penalties. Enterprise change information reveals enterprises' changes in shareholders, capital, and other aspects.

Tax Information: China's tax authorities annually assess a tax credit rating to taxpayers based on taxpayers' fulfillment of tax obligations within a certain period of time. If an enterprise scores 90 points or more according to tax authorities' evaluation index, it obtains a Grade A tax credit. Thus, enterprises with a Grade A tax credit is assigned a value 1 and 0 otherwise. Moreover, this study includes: 1) whether enterprises owe taxes to tax authorities and are included in the overdue collection list; and 2) whether enterprises are recognized by tax authorities as abnormal taxpayers.

All dependent and independent variables are listed below in Table 1.

Table 1: Dependent and independent variable	Table 1: De	pendent and	l independent	variable
---	-------------	-------------	---------------	----------

Variables	Description				
Dependent variable					
Deregistration	Whether the target enterprise will deregistrate in 6 months.				
Independent variables: Public credit information					
	Years of establishment.				
	Whether an enterprise is publicly listed.				
Basic business information	Industry categories an enterprise belongs to.				
	Amount of registered capital.				
	Number of people engaged in the target enterprise.				
	Whether the target enterprise is rated as a high-tech enterprise.				
Administrative information	Whether the target enterprise is on the list of dishonest executors.				
	Whether the target enterprise is on the list of seriously dishonest entities.				
	The number of administrative penalties imposed on the target enterprise in the past year.				
	The amount of administrative penalties imposed on the target enterprise in the past year.				
	The number of times the target enterprise appears in the list of abnormal operations by the				
	Market Supervision Administration in the past year.				
	Whether the target enterprise has been included in the industry regulatory red list issued				
	by the National Development and Reform Commission.				
Enterprise change	The number of times the target enterprise has changed its name in the past year.				
	The number of times the target enterprise has changed its legal representative, chairman,				
information	executive director, general manager, and other key responsible personsin the past year.				
	The number of times the target enterprise has changed its registered address in one year.				
Independent variables: Tax information					
Grade A tax credit	Whether an enterprise is assigned a Grade A tax credit by tax authorities.				
Overdue collection list	Whether an enterprise owes taxes to tax authorities and is included in the overdue				
Overaue confection list	collection list.				
Abnormal taxpayer	Whether an enterprise is recognized by tax authorities as abnormal taxpayer.				

Research Methodology and Model Selection

Logistic regression has been the most widely used in the field of credit risk assessment (Guo et al., 2016). Therefore, we first choose logistic regression as our benchmark model. Besides, AI techniques have been widely used in credit risk assessment, among which, decision trees, support vector machines and neural networks remain popular and competitive (Ma et al., 2021; Malekipirbazari & Aksakalli, 2015). Thus the above three AI techniques will be used to further validate the proposed index for enterprise deregistration risk assessment. After that, He et al. (2018) and Xia et al. (2017) pointed out that tree-based ensemble method can achieve promising performance in credit risk assessment tasks. Thus, tree-based ensemble method will be further adopted to improve the model performance. The above models will be compared with each other according to the following evaluation metrics to select the most suitable one for this task.

Evaluation Metrics

Choosing proper evaluation metrics is crucial for credit risk assessment tasks to guide model selection. *Accuracy* is the most popular measure for quantifying the performance of binary classification models (Kou et al., 2021). Therefore, we first choose *accuracy* as our evaluation metric. However, *accuracy* may be inappropriate when class distributions and misclassification costs are rarely uniform. For instance, in the context of enterprise deregistration, there are always much more remaining enterprises than deregistration borrowers, and the cost of misclassifying a deregistration borrower is much larger than that of misclassifying a remaining borrower. Thus, the purpose of enterprise deregistration risk assessment is to identify as more deregistration enterprises as possible, while retain all remaining enterprises. Accordingly, we choose *recall* as our second evaluation metric, which measures how many of the positive samples (i.e., deregistration enterprises) are captured by the positive predictions. *Recall* is often used when it is important to identify all positive samples (Wu et al., 2022).

Data Collection

The first part of dataset used in this study will be crawled from the nationwide credit information sharing platform and "Credit China" platform established by Chinese government (Figure 1), which provide enterprises' public credit information (e.g.,

administrative penalty information, administrative licensing information, red and black list information) and some pieces of tax information (e.g., whether the enterprise is on the list of grade A taxpayers assessed by China's tax authorities) across China.

14 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 15 16 17 18 19 10 <th>4 原型 0 守信 严重失信 0</th> <th>经营异常 Abnormal operation list</th> <th>16 査 信用承诺</th> <th>信用评价</th> <th>0 司法判决 Judicial judgement</th> <th>₽ 其他</th>	4 原型 0 守信 严重失信 0	经营异常 Abnormal operation list	16 査 信用承诺	信用评价	0 司法判决 Judicial judgement	₽ 其他
全部 14 行政许可(I Administrat	新标准) 12 行政许可 tive licenses	(旧标准) 1 行政 Adm	处罚 1 hinistrative penalties			
						第1身
行政处罚决定书文号	杭市监处罚(2022)62号 下载修复申请材料 在线申请修复					
处罚类别	罚款					
处罚决定日期	2022-08-26					
处罚内容	由县级以上人民政府食品药品监督管理部门责令改正,没收违法所得,并处五万元以上二十万元以下罚款;造成严重后果的,责 令停业,直至由原发证部门吊销许可证; Reasons for administrative penalty					
罚款金额 (万元)	6.0 Amount of administrative penalty					
没收违法所得、没收非法 财物的金额(万元)	0.0					

Source: https://www.creditchina.gov.cn. Figure 1: "Credit China" platform.

Then, we will collect enterprise deregistration information from National Enterprise Credit Publicity System (Figure 2) to construct the dependent variable. In China, reasons for enterprise deregistration include adjudication of bankruptcy, mergers, acquisitions, business period expiration without renewal, or the company is dissolved internally, etc., which could all lead to credit risk.

国家企业信用信息公示系统 National Enterprise Credit Information Publicity System	Q 企业信用信息 经营异常名录 严重违法失信名单 请输入企业名称、统一社会信用代码或注册号				
た南县井岗水泥厂 注明 Deregistration 第全教育 第全教育 第二〇 た南县井岗水泥厂 注明 Deregistration 第一社会信用代码: 注册号: 执行事务合伙人: 陈颜祥 注销原因: 決议解散 Deregistration reason: D 注销日期: 2014年06月24日 Deregistration date	n 发送报告 信息分享 Decided to disband internally 信息打印 e				
基础信息 行政许可信息 行政处罚信息 列入经营 Administrative license Administrative penalty	吉异常名录信息 列入严重违法失信名单(黑名单)信息 公告信息				
■营业执照信息					
· 统一社会信用代码:	·企业名称: 龙南县井岗水泥厂				
· 注册号:	·执行事务合伙人: 陈荫祥				
· 类型: 普通合伙企业	·成立日期: 2008年01月15日				
・出资額: 120.000000万人民币 ・核准日期: 2014年06月24日					
· 登记机关: 龙南市市场监督管理局	· 登记状态: 注销				

Source: https://www.gsxt.gov.cn/corp-query-homepage.html. Figure 2: National Enterprise Credit Publicity System.

Public credit information and tax information will be combined to establish the enterprise deregistration risk assessment index and predict the risk of enterprise deregistration. Expectedly, our sample will include all deregistration enterprises from January 2024 to May 2024. In accordance with Wu et al. (2022), the dataset will be randomly divided into two parts (training set and testing set) by the ratio of 8:2, where the training set is used to establish the classification model and the test set is use for model comparison.

DISCUSSION

In summary, this research proposes an enterprise deregistration risk assessment model. On the one hand, the proposed deregistration risk assessment model considers a broader range of credit risk, taking other forms of firm exit into account besides default and bankruptcy, and sets firm deregistration as the prediction target, which has been largely ignored in the literature. On the other hand, the proposed deregistration risk assessment model uses a combination of public credit information and tax information. The research results show that both public credit information and tax information could be used as important indicators of enterprise deregistration risk.

This study may provide feasible practical and managerial implications. First, as financial organizations and regulatory authorities have difficulty in obtaining reliable and complete information of enterprises to predict their credit risk, especially financial information, the proposed deregistration risk assessment index using a combination of public credit information and

tax information could help expand credit granting and supervision to larger groups. Second, our classification model takes time horizons into account, namely, whether the enterprise will deregistrate in 6 months. Thus, there will be enough time for financial organizations and regulatory authorities to take actions to avoid the risk brought by enterprise deregistration, even to discover and prevent enterprises' malicious cancellation in advance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge the partial grant support to the research (Grant ID: 72061127002) and the Young Innovative Talent Program of Guangdong (Grant ID: 2023WQNCX067). This research is also supported by DeFin research center, National Center for Applied Mathematics Shenzhen; Shenzhen Key Research Base in Arts & Social Sciences (Intelligent Management & Innovation Research Center of SusTech), and the National Laboratory of Mechanical Manufacture System, XJTU, China.

REFERENCES

- Altman, E. I. (1968). Financial ratios, discriminant analysis and prediction of corporate bankruptcy. *Journal of Finance*, 23(4), 589-609. https://doi.org/10.2307/2978933
- Altman, E. L., Edward, I., Haldeman, R., & Narayanan, P. (1977). A new model to identify bankruptcy risk of corporation. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 1, 29-54. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4266(77)90017-6
- Beaver, W. H. (1966). Financial ratios as predictors of failure. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 4, 71-111. https://doi.org/10.2307/2490171
- Chen, Y., Huang, R. J., Tsai, J., & Tzeng, L. Y. (2015). Soft Information and Small Business Lending. *Journal of Financial Services Research*, 47(1), 115-133. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10693-013-0187-x
- D'Aurizio, L., Oliviero, T., & Romano, L. (2015). Family firms, soft information and bank lending in a financial crisis. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 33, 279-292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2015.01.002
- Doumpos, M., Andriosopoulos, K., Galariotis, E., Makridou, G., & Zopounidis, C. (2017). Corporate failure prediction in the European energy sector: A multicriteria approach and the effect of country characteristics. *European Journal of* Operational Research, 262(1), 347-360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2017.04.024
- Doumpos, M., & Zopounidis, C. (2011). A multicriteria outranking modeling approach for credit rating. *Decision Sciences*, 42(3), 721-742. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5915.2011.00328.x
- Duan, J. C., Sun, J., & Wang, T. (2012). Multiperiod corporate default prediction-A forward intensity approach. *Journal of Econometrics*, 170(1), 191-209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2012.05.002
- Guo, Y., Zhou, W., Luo, C., Liu, C., & Xiong, H. (2016). Instance-based credit risk assessment for investment decisions in P2P lending. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 249(2), 417-426. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2015.05.050
- He, H., Zhang, W., & Zhang, S. (2018). A novel ensemble method for credit scoring: Adaption of different imbalance ratios. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 98, 105-117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2018.01.012
- Kedia, P., & Mishra, L. (2024). Credit risk management: A systematic literature review and bibliometric analysis. *Journal of Credit Risk*, 20(1), 82. https://doi.org/10.21314/JCR.2024.002
- Kim, H. S., & Sohn, S. Y. (2010). Support vector machines for default prediction of SMEs based on technology credit. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 201(3), 838-846. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2009.03.036
- Kou, G., Xu, Y., Peng, Y., Shen, F., Chen, Y., Chang, K., & Kou, S. (2021). Bankruptcy prediction for SMEs using transactional data and two-stage multiobjective feature selection. *Decision Support Systems*, 140, 14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2020.113429
- Le, R., Ku, H., & Jun, D. (2021). Sequence-based clustering applied to long-term credit risk assessment. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2020.113940
- Liang, D., Lu, C.-C., Tsai, C. F., & Shih, G. A. (2016). Financial ratios and corporate governance indicators in bankruptcy prediction: A comprehensive study. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 252(2), 561-572. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2016.01.012
- Ma, Z. W., Hou, W. J., & Zhang, D. (2021). A credit risk assessment model of borrowers in P2P lending based on BP neural network. *Plos One*, 16(8), 21. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255216
- Malekipirbazari, M., & Aksakalli, V. (2015). Risk assessment in social lending via random forests. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 42(10), 4621-4631. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2015.02.001
- Mirzaei, M., Ramakrishnan, S., & Bekri, M. (2016). Corporate default prediction with industry effects: Evidence from emerging markets. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 6(3), 161-169.
- Ohlson, J. A. (1980). Financial ratios and the probabilistic prediction of bankruptcy. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 18(1), 109-131. https://doi.org/10.2307/2490395
- Ramesh, R., & Jeyakarthic, M. (2024). Enhancing credit risk prediction with hybrid deep learning and sand cat swarm feature selection. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 21. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-023-17974-3
- Rong, Y., Liu, S., Yan, S., Huang, W. W., & Chen, Y. (2023). Proposing a new loan recommendation framework for loan allocation strategies in online P2P lending. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 123(3), 910-930. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-07-2022-0399
- Serrano-Cinca, C., & Gutiérrez-Nieto, B. (2016). The use of profit scoring as an alternative to credit scoring systems in peerto-peer (P2P) lending. *Decision Support Systems*, 89, 113-122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2016.06.014

- Shi, Y., Qu, Y., Chen, Z., Mi, Y., & Wang, Y. (2024). Improved credit risk prediction based on an integrated graph representation learning approach with graph transformation. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 315(3), 786-801. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2023.12.028
- Sun, L. L., & Shenoy, P. P. (2007). Using Bayesian networks for bankruptcy prediction: Some methodological issues. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 180(2), 738-753. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2006.04.019
- Wu, Y., Huang, W., Tian, Y., Zhu, Q., & Yu, L. (2022). An uncertainty-oriented cost-sensitive credit scoring framework with multi-objective feature selection. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 53, 24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2022.101155
- Xia, Y., Liu, C., & Liu, N. (2017). Cost-sensitive boosted tree for loan evaluation in peer-to-peer lending. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 24, 30-49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2017.06.004
- Zhang, G., Hu, M. Y., Patuwo, B. E., & Indro, D. C. (1999). Artificial neural networks in bankruptcy prediction: General framework and cross-validation analysis. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 116(1), 16-32. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(98)00051-4
- Zhang, W., Tong, M., Yin, Y. H., & Shang, J. (2024). How do credit rating agencies and bond investors react to credit guarantees? Evidence from China's municipal corporate bond market. *Journal of Credit Risk*, 20(1), 82. https://doi.org/10.21314/JCR.2023.011
- Zhang, W., Yan, S., Li, J., Peng, R., & Tian, X. (2024). Deep reinforcement learning imbalanced credit risk of SMEs in supply chain finance. *Annals of Operations Research*, 1-31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-024-05921-w
- Zhang, Y., Ye, S., Liu, J., & Du, L. (2023). Impact of the development of FinTech by commercial banks on bank credit risk. *Finance Research Letters*, 55, 8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2023.103857
- Zhu, R., & Chen, F. (2024). Tax and financial credit risks-Empirical evidence from Chinese investment enterprises. *Finance Research Letters*, 61, 7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2023.104917